



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,816	10/11/2005	Raf Lodewijk Jan Roovers	NL 030433	5559

65913 7590 08/05/2008

NXP, B.V.
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
M/S41-SJ
1109 MCKAY DRIVE
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER

SHAH, TANMAY K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/05/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/552,816	Applicant(s) ROOVERS ET AL.	
	Examiner TANMAY K. SHAH	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Application No. 10/552,816 filed on 10/11/2005, claims 1 – 12 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1- 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Anand (US 2003/0224747)**.

Regarding claim 1, **Anand** teaches a communication receiver, comprising a pulse detection unit, for detecting pulses in a received signal, the pulse detection unit comprising:

a plurality of comparators (**i.e. plurality of comparators, 512,516,520,524 of Fig. 5, page 6, paragraph 58**);

a sampling time generator, for generating signals indicative of a plurality of sampling time points (**i.e. the charge pump feedback bias signal may be sampled as shown in Fig. 4, page 6, paragraph 58**); and

a reference level generator, for generating a plurality of reference levels (**i.e. plurality of threshold voltage levels, page 6, paragraph 58**),

wherein each of the comparators is programmable with a sampling time point selected from said plurality of sampling time points and with a reference level selected from said plurality of reference levels (**i.e. finite state machine is comprising logic circuitry formed using any one of a plurality of designs and technologies, including ASIC design, Field programmable gate array (FPGA) and general purpose processor technology whose operation logic is defined by software, page 6, paragraph 58**), and

wherein the received signal is applied to each of the comparators such that each of the comparators produces a respective output signal based on a comparison between the received signal level and the selected reference level at the selected sampling time point (**i.e. four comparators each receive the charge pump feedback bias signal at a positive terminal for comparison to one of four specified voltage levels that are received at a negative terminal. The output of comparators are produced to a finite state machine, page 6, paragraph 58**).

Regarding claim 2, **Anand teaches** communications receiver as claimed in claim 1, comprising a signal processor, for detecting pulses in the received signal based on the output signals from the comparators (**i.e. The output of comparators are produced to a finite state machine ,finite state machine is comprising logic circuitry formed using any one of a plurality of designs and technologies, including ASIC design, Field programmable gate array**

(FPGA) and general purpose processor technology whose operation logic is defined by software, page 6, paragraph 58).

Regarding claim 3, **Anand teaches** communications receiver as claimed in claim 2, wherein the signal processor is adapted to program the comparators with respective selected sampling time points and reference levels, in order to detect said pulses (i.e. **The output of comparators are produced to a finite state machine ,finite state machine is comprising logic circuitry formed using any one of a plurality of designs and technologies, including ASIC design, Field programmable gate array (FPGA) and general purpose processor technology whose operation logic is defined by software, page 6, paragraph 58).**

Regarding claim 4, **Anand teaches** a communications receiver as claimed in claim 1, comprising a pre-amplifier, for pre-amplifying the received signal to an appropriate level for comparison with the plurality of reference levels (i.e. **an RF receiver unit includes a receiver/ low noise amplifier (LNA) that is coupled to receiver wireless radio communications by the way of an antenna, page , paragraph 45).**

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 5, **Anand teaches** a communications receiver as claimed in claim 1, wherein the reference level generator is adapted to scale the generated plurality of reference levels for comparison with the received signal (**i.e. four comparators each receive the charge pump feedback bias signal at a positive terminal for comparison to one of four specified voltage levels that are received at a negative terminal. Page 6, paragraph 58**).

Regarding claim 6, **Anand teaches** a communications receiver as claimed in claim 1, further comprising a current reference, for driving bias currents to said plurality of comparators (**i.e. each receive the charge pump feedback bias signal at a positive terminal for comparison, page 6, paragraph 58**).

Regarding claim 7, a method has substantially same limitations as claim 1, thus the same rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 8, a method has substantially same limitations as claim 2, thus the same rejection is applicable

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 9, a method has substantially same limitations as claim 4, thus the same rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 10, a method has substantially same limitations as claim 5, thus the same rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 11, **Anand teaches** a method as claimed in claim 7, comprising programming the comparators with respective selected sampling time points and reference levels, based on knowledge about the possible shapes of said pulses (i.e. more specifically, comparator further is coupled to receive at its negative terminal, a high threshold level, while comparator receives a low threshold voltage level, page 6, paragraph 58).

Regarding claim 12, **Anand teaches** a method as claimed in claim 7, comprising programming the comparators with respective selected sampling time points and reference levels (i.e. The output of comparators are produced to a finite state machine, finite state machine is comprising logic circuitry formed using any one of a plurality of designs and technologies, including ASIC design, Field programmable gate array (FPGA) and general purpose processor technology whose operation logic is defined by software, page

Art Unit: 2611

6, paragraph 58), based on knowledge about the expected arrival times of said pulses (**i.e. signal which is set according to whether sufficient time has elapsed since last calibration step, page 6, paragraph 59).**

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TANMAY k. SHAH whose telephone number is (571)270-3624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu (7:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. k. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/Kevin M. Burd/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611

7/31/2008